STAT 574 Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Models

Lecture 5: Meta-analysis Models

Chencheng Cai

Washington State University

Meta-analysis Model

- Observation: A common treatment effect that synthesizes the results of several studies.
- Model: Build a super-model (the model of models) over several different studies using random-effect models.
- Methodology: Pulling results from studies on similar objectives to build a powerful overall model.

- Key assumptions:
 - Estimations from each study are assumed to be Gaussian (because of CLT)
 - Random effects may be heavy-tailed.

- \triangleright n studies estimate a common parameter of interest β by y_i with its variance σ_i^2 .
- How to generate an overall estimate for β ?

 \triangleright n studies estimate a common parameter of interest β by y_i with its variance σ_i^2 .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ の00

- How to generate an overall estimate for β?
- Naive Average:
 - $\blacktriangleright \hat{\beta} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i$
 - Problem: variance not optimal.

Weighted Average:

- $\hat{\beta} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i y_i$ with $w_i \propto 1/\sigma_i^2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1$.
- Problem: result dominated by studies with small σ_i^2 's.

n studies estimate a common parameter of interest β by y_i with its variance σ_i².
 Consider a random-effect approach:

$$y_i = \beta + b_i + \epsilon_i$$

where b_i is a random effect with **unknown** variance σ^2 and ϵ_i is an error term with **known** variance σ_i^2

▶ or, equivalently,

$$y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\beta, \sigma^2 + \sigma_i^2)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

► Is this a LME model?

n studies estimate a common parameter of interest β by y_i with its variance σ_i².
 Consider a random-effect approach:

$$y_i = \beta + b_i + \epsilon_i$$

where b_i is a random effect with **unknown** variance σ^2 and ϵ_i is an error term with **known** variance σ_i^2

or, equivalently,

$$y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\beta, \sigma^2 + \sigma_i^2)$$

Is this a LME model? Not exactly because σ_i 's are known.

$$y_i = \beta + b_i + \epsilon_i$$

- ▶ y_i: study-specific treatments
- \triangleright β : common treatment effect
- σ^2 : heterogeneity parameter (variance of the random effect)

Remark:

- We do not specify how each y_i and σ_i^2 are obtained.
- The model is built on many other individual studies.

If σ^2 is known, the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE) for β is

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i (\sigma^2 + \sigma_i^2)^{-1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\sigma^2 + \sigma_i^2)^{-1}}.$$

Why? Gauss-Markov theorem.

Write it as an intermedia step in LME model:

$$oldsymbol{y}_i = oldsymbol{X}_ioldsymbol{eta} + oldsymbol{Z}_ioldsymbol{b}_i + oldsymbol{\epsilon}_i \Longleftarrow y_i = eta + b_i + \epsilon_i$$

when $X_i = Z_i = 1$ and when σ_i^2 are known. Recall what we have from random effects estimation:

$$\min_{oldsymbol{eta}, oldsymbol{b}_1, ..., oldsymbol{b}_N} \; \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\|oldsymbol{y}_i - oldsymbol{X}_ioldsymbol{eta} - oldsymbol{Z}_ioldsymbol{b}_i \|^2 + oldsymbol{b}_i^Toldsymbol{D}^{-1}oldsymbol{b}_i
ight)$$

Now, it turns to

$$\min_{\beta, b_1, \dots, b_n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{(y_i - \beta - b_i)^2}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{b_i^2}{\sigma^2} \right)$$

$$\min_{\beta, b_1, \dots, b_n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{(y_i - \beta - b_i)^2}{\sigma_i^2} + \frac{b_i^2}{\sigma^2} \right)$$

When we have estimates $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2,$ the solution is

$$\hat{b}_i = \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2 + \sigma_i^2} (y_i - \hat{\beta})$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Verify:

When \$\hlow{\alpha}^2 = 0\$, \$\hlow{b}_i = 0\$.
When \$\hlow{\alpha}^2 = \infty\$, \$\hlow{b}_i = y_i - \block{\black{\black{\beta}}}\$.

To estimate β and σ^2 , under normal assumption for y_i 's, the log-likelihood function is

$$\ell(\beta, \sigma^2) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\log(\sigma^2 + \sigma_i^2) + \frac{(y_i - \beta)^2}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_i^2} \right]$$

Solution:

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i (\hat{\sigma}^2 + \sigma_i^2)^{-1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{\sigma}^2 + \sigma_i^2)^{-1}}$$

When $\sigma_i^2 = \sigma_1^2$ for all i,

$$\hat{\beta} = \bar{y}, \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2 - \sigma_1^2$$

(ロ) (型) (E) (E) (E) (O)

Other estimators for variance:

Variance least squares:

$$\min_{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[(y_i - \hat{\beta})^2 - \sigma_i^2 - \sigma^2 \right]^2$$

The solution is:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{VLS}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left((y_i - \hat{\beta})^2 - \sigma_i^2 \right)$$

Method of Moments:

$$\bar{y} = \beta$$

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2 = \frac{n-1}{n} (n\sigma^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i^2)$

Simple Meta-analysis Model — Testing

Test $H_0: \sigma = 0$ (no random effect)

 \blacktriangleright under H_0 :

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i^{-2} (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0)^2 \sim \chi_{n-1}^2,$$

 \triangleright $\hat{\beta}_0$ is the weighted mean.

Same as the F-test we mentioned in LME.

Simple Meta-analysis Model — Testing

Test $H_0: \sigma = 0$ (no random effect)

 \blacktriangleright under H_0 :

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i^{-2} (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0)^2 \sim \chi_{n-1}^2,$$

 \triangleright $\hat{\beta}_0$ is the weighted mean.

Same as the F-test we mentioned in LME.

Test $H_0: \beta = 0$ (zero populational mean)

Wald CI

PL CI

Meta-analysis Model with Covariates

Consider more covariates in the meta-analysis model:

$$y_i = \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \boldsymbol{x}_i + b_i + \epsilon_i, i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $b_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_i)$. When ϵ_i is Gaussian, we have

$$y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\beta}^T \boldsymbol{x}_i, \sigma^2 + \sigma_i^2)$$

Example: a multi-center study with different characteristics for the centers.

Meta-analysis Model with Covariates

When σ^2 is known, we have

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\sigma^2 + \sigma_i^2)^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}_i \boldsymbol{x}_i^T\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\sigma^2 + \sigma_i^2)^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}_i y_i\right)$$

▶ When $\sigma^2 = 0$, we have

$$\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}_0 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^{-2} oldsymbol{x}_i oldsymbol{x}_i^T
ight)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^{-2} oldsymbol{x}_i y_i
ight)$$

▶ When $\sigma^2 \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}_{OLS} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n oldsymbol{x}_i oldsymbol{x}_i^T
ight)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n oldsymbol{x}_i y_i
ight)$$

Meta-analysis Model with Covariates — MLE

The MLE maximizes the loglikelihood function:

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\log(\sigma^2 + \sigma_i^2) + \frac{(y_i - \boldsymbol{\beta}^T \boldsymbol{x}_i)^2}{\sigma_i^2 + \sigma^2} \right]$$

When $\sigma_i^2 = \sigma_1^2$ for all *i*, we have the closed form solution:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{OLS}, \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^T \boldsymbol{x}_i)^2 - \sigma_1^2.$$

Meta-analysis Model with Covariates — Testing

Consider test $H_0: \sigma^2 = 0$. The test statistics:

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^{-2} (y_i - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_0^T \boldsymbol{x}_i)^2$$

The distribution:

$$Q \sim \chi^2_{n-m},$$

where m is the number of covariates (length of β).

Multivariate Meta-analysis Model with Covariates

When each study estimates a vector parameter (e.g. primary effect and secondary effect), we observe a vector from each study with known covariance.

$$oldsymbol{y}_i = oldsymbol{eta}^T oldsymbol{x}_i + oldsymbol{b}_i + oldsymbol{\epsilon}_i,$$

where

$$m{b}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(m{0},m{D}), \quad m{\epsilon}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(m{0},m{C}_i)$$

with unknown D and known C_i .

What if different studies report different numbers of outcomes?

- Suppose we are interested in three parameters (y, s, t): the primary, secondary, and tertiary outcomes.
- ▶ Now we have three different studies that report different outcomes:

$$oldsymbol{y}_1 = egin{bmatrix} y_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad oldsymbol{y}_2 = egin{bmatrix} y_2 \ s_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad oldsymbol{y}_3 = egin{bmatrix} y_3 \ s_3 \ t_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Suppose we are interested in three parameters (y, s, t): the primary, secondary, and tertiary outcomes.
- ▶ Now we have three different studies that report different outcomes:

$$oldsymbol{y}_1 = egin{bmatrix} y_1 = egin{bmatrix} y_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad oldsymbol{y}_2 = egin{bmatrix} y_2 \ s_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad oldsymbol{y}_3 = egin{bmatrix} y_3 \ s_3 \ t_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Does it fit into our model?

$$oldsymbol{y}_i = oldsymbol{X}_ioldsymbol{eta} + oldsymbol{b}_i + oldsymbol{\epsilon}_i$$

・ロト・(四ト・(川下・(日下・(日下)))

- Suppose we are interested in three parameters (y, s, t): the primary, secondary, and tertiary outcomes.
- Now we have three different studies that report different outcomes:

$$oldsymbol{y}_1 = egin{bmatrix} y_1 = egin{bmatrix} y_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad oldsymbol{y}_2 = egin{bmatrix} y_2 \ s_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad oldsymbol{y}_3 = egin{bmatrix} y_3 \ s_3 \ t_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Does it fit into our model?

$$oldsymbol{y}_i = oldsymbol{X}_ioldsymbol{eta} + oldsymbol{b}_i + oldsymbol{\epsilon}_i$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

If y_i has p_i elements, we can set X_i of dimension p_i × m, and b_i of size p_i × 1.
What about covariance of b_i?

Define three full observation vectors:

$$oldsymbol{y}_1' = egin{bmatrix} y_1 \ s_1 \ t_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad oldsymbol{y}_2' = egin{bmatrix} y_2 \ s_2 \ t_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad oldsymbol{y}_3' = egin{bmatrix} y_3 \ s_3 \ t_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Define three truncation matrices:

$$oldsymbol{H}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad oldsymbol{H}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad oldsymbol{H}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then we have

$$\boldsymbol{y}_i = \boldsymbol{H}_i \boldsymbol{y}_i', \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3$$

Since y_i 's are aligned, we can assume the following model:

 $oldsymbol{y}_i' = oldsymbol{X}_i'oldsymbol{eta} + oldsymbol{b}_i' + oldsymbol{\epsilon}_i'$

with $b'_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Omega})$ and $\epsilon_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}'_i)$ \blacktriangleright Using $y_i = H_i y'_i$, we have

$$oldsymbol{y}_i = oldsymbol{H}_ioldsymbol{X}_i'oldsymbol{eta} + oldsymbol{H}_ioldsymbol{b}_i' + oldsymbol{H}_ioldsymbol{\epsilon}_i'$$

Or simply

$$oldsymbol{y}_i = oldsymbol{X}_ioldsymbol{eta} + oldsymbol{b}_i + oldsymbol{\epsilon}_i$$

with $oldsymbol{X}_i = oldsymbol{H}_i oldsymbol{X}_i^\prime, \ oldsymbol{b}_i = oldsymbol{H}_i oldsymbol{b}_i^\prime, \ oldsymbol{\epsilon}_i = oldsymbol{H}_i oldsymbol{\epsilon}_i^\prime$

Covariance structure:

$$oldsymbol{b}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(oldsymbol{0},oldsymbol{H}_i oldsymbol{\Omega} oldsymbol{H}_i^T), \quad oldsymbol{\epsilon}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(oldsymbol{0},oldsymbol{H}_i oldsymbol{C}_i'oldsymbol{H}_i^T) \sim \mathcal{N}(oldsymbol{0},oldsymbol{C}_i)$$

Multivariate Meta-analysis Model

$$y_i = X_i eta + b_i + \epsilon_i,$$

with

$$oldsymbol{b}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(oldsymbol{0},oldsymbol{H}_i oldsymbol{\Omega} oldsymbol{H}_i^T), \quad oldsymbol{\epsilon}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(oldsymbol{0},oldsymbol{C}_i),$$

 \blacktriangleright Known: X_i , H_i , C_i

 \blacktriangleright Unknown parameters: $oldsymbol{eta}$, $oldsymbol{\Omega}$

Dimensions:

$$\mathbf{y}_i : p_i \times 1, \ \mathbf{X}_i : p_i \times m, \ \boldsymbol{\beta} : m \times 1$$
$$\mathbf{b}_i : p_i \times 1, \ \mathbf{H}_i : p_i \times k, \ \boldsymbol{\Omega} : k \times k$$

If Ω is known:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{V}_{i}^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{V}_{i}^{-1} \boldsymbol{y}_{i}\right)$$

with $oldsymbol{V}_i = oldsymbol{C}_i + oldsymbol{H}_i oldsymbol{\Omega} oldsymbol{H}_i^T.$

• When $\Omega = 0$,

$$\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}_0 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n oldsymbol{X}_i^T oldsymbol{C}_i^{-1} oldsymbol{X}_i
ight)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n oldsymbol{X}_i^T oldsymbol{C}_i^{-1} oldsymbol{y}_i
ight)$$

 $\blacktriangleright \ \ {\rm When} \ {\boldsymbol \Omega} = \sigma^2 {\boldsymbol I} \ {\rm with} \ \sigma^2 \to \infty,$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{OLS} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}^{T} (\boldsymbol{H}_{i} \boldsymbol{H}_{i}^{T})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{X}_{i}^{T} (\boldsymbol{H}_{i} \boldsymbol{H}_{i}^{T})^{-1} \boldsymbol{y}_{i}\right)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ● ● ●

 $\blacktriangleright \text{ Because } \boldsymbol{y}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{V}_i)$

the log-likelihood function is

$$\ell(oldsymbol{eta},oldsymbol{\Omega}) = -rac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n ig(\log |oldsymbol{V}_i| + (oldsymbol{y}_i - oldsymbol{X}_ioldsymbol{eta})^Toldsymbol{V}_i^{-1}(oldsymbol{y}_i - oldsymbol{X}_ioldsymbol{eta})ig)$$

the restricted log-likelihood function is

$$\ell_R(\boldsymbol{eta}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}) = \ell(\boldsymbol{eta}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}) - rac{1}{2} \log \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \boldsymbol{X}_i^T \boldsymbol{V}_i^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_i \right|$$

Multivariate Meta-analysis Model — Testing

• Consider test $H_0: \mathbf{\Omega} = \mathbf{0}$.

Test statistics:

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\boldsymbol{y}_i - \boldsymbol{X}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_0)^T \boldsymbol{C}_i^{-1} (\boldsymbol{y}_i - \boldsymbol{X}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_0)$$

distribution:

 $Q\sim \chi^2_d$

with
$$d = \sum_i p_i - m$$