

STAT 423/523 Statistical Methods for Engineers and Scientists

Lecture 9: Multifactor Analysis of Variance II

Chencheng Cai

Washington State University

Two-way ANOVA with Replication

Now we consider the two-way ANOVA with replication. That is for each combination of the two factors, we have more than one observation.

$$X_{ijk} = \mu_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk},$$

where the index ijk denotes the k th observation in the i th level of factor A and the j th level of factor B.

Two-way ANOVA with Replication

Now we consider the two-way ANOVA with replication. That is for each combination of the two factors, we have more than one observation.

$$X_{ijk} = \mu_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk},$$

where the index ijk denotes the k th observation in the i th level of factor A and the j th level of factor B.

For simplicity, we assume $i = 1, 2, \dots, I$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, J$, and $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$ — equal number of observations in each cell.

Two-way ANOVA with Replication

We rewrite μ_{ij} as in the additive model but with an additional term for the interaction effect:

$$\mu_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij}.$$

Two-way ANOVA with Replication

We rewrite μ_{ij} as in the additive model but with an additional term for the interaction effect:

$$\mu_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij}.$$

- ▶ The term γ_{ij} is called the **interaction effect**.
- ▶ We don't include the interaction term in two-way ANOVA without replication because we can't estimate it (more parameters than observations).
- ▶ With replication, we can estimate the interaction effect.
- ▶ The number of parameters for μ_{ij} is IJ . So we need to show that the number of above model is IJ as well.

Two-way ANOVA with Replication

$$\mu_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij}.$$

- ▶ The number of parameters is $1 + I + J + IJ = (I + 1)(J + 1)$.

Two-way ANOVA with Replication

$$\mu_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij}.$$

- ▶ The number of parameters is $1 + I + J + IJ = (I + 1)(J + 1)$.
- ▶ The constraints are:

$$\sum_i \alpha_i = 0,$$

$$\sum_j \beta_j = 0,$$

$$\sum_i \gamma_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, J,$$

$$\sum_j \gamma_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, I.$$

The number of constraints is $I + J + 2$.

Two-way ANOVA with Replication

$$\mu_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij}.$$

- ▶ The number of parameters is $1 + I + J + IJ = (I + 1)(J + 1)$.
- ▶ The constraints are:

$$\sum_i \alpha_i = 0,$$

$$\sum_j \beta_j = 0,$$

$$\sum_i \gamma_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, J,$$

$$\sum_j \gamma_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, I.$$

The number of constraints is $I + J + 2$.

The number of **independent** constraints is $I + J + 1$.

Two-way ANOVA with Replication

$$\mu_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij}.$$

- ▶ The number of parameters is $1 + I + J + IJ = (I + 1)(J + 1)$.
- ▶ The constraints are:

$$\sum_i \alpha_i = 0,$$

$$\sum_j \beta_j = 0,$$

$$\sum_i \gamma_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, J,$$

$$\sum_j \gamma_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, I.$$

The number of constraints is $I + J + 2$.

The number of **independent** constraints is $I + J + 1$.

- ▶ Therefore, the effective number of parameters is $(I + 1)(J + 1) - (I + J + 1) = IJ$.

Two-way ANOVA with Replication

$$\mu_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij}.$$

- ▶ α_i : the (main) effect of the i th level of factor A.
- ▶ β_j : the (main) effect of the j th level of factor B.
- ▶ γ_{ij} : the interaction effect between the i th level of factor A and the j th level of factor B.

Sample Means

Similar to the one-way ANOVA, we can calculate the sample means for each level of the two factors and the interaction effect:

$$\bar{X}_{i..} = \frac{1}{JK} \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K X_{ijk},$$

$$\bar{X}_{.j.} = \frac{1}{IK} \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{k=1}^K X_{ijk}$$

$$\bar{X}_{ij.} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K X_{ijk},$$

$$\bar{X}_{...} = \frac{1}{IJK} \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^K X_{ijk}.$$

- ▶ $\bar{X}_{i..}$: the sample mean for the i th level of factor A.
- ▶ $\bar{X}_{.j.}$: the sample mean for the j th level of factor B.
- ▶ $\bar{X}_{ij.}$: the sample mean for the ij th cell.
- ▶ $\bar{X}_{...}$: the grand mean.

Estimation

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}.$$

Using method of moments, the estimators should satisfy

$$\bar{X}_{ij\cdot} = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\alpha}_i + \hat{\beta}_j + \hat{\gamma}_{ij} \quad (*)$$

Estimation

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}.$$

Using method of moments, the estimators should satisfy

$$\bar{X}_{ij.} = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\alpha}_i + \hat{\beta}_j + \hat{\gamma}_{ij} \quad (*)$$

- ▶ Averaging (*) over all i and j gives: $\bar{X}_{...} = \hat{\mu}$.

Estimation

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}.$$

Using method of moments, the estimators should satisfy

$$\bar{X}_{ij.} = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\alpha}_i + \hat{\beta}_j + \hat{\gamma}_{ij} \quad (*)$$

- ▶ Averaging (*) over all i and j gives: $\bar{X}_{...} = \hat{\mu}$.
- ▶ Averaging (*) over all i and fixing j gives: $\bar{X}_{.j.} = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\beta}_j \implies \hat{\beta}_j = \bar{X}_{.j.} - \bar{X}_{...}$.

Estimation

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}.$$

Using method of moments, the estimators should satisfy

$$\bar{X}_{ij.} = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\alpha}_i + \hat{\beta}_j + \hat{\gamma}_{ij} \quad (*)$$

- ▶ Averaging (*) over all i and j gives: $\bar{X}_{...} = \hat{\mu}$.
- ▶ Averaging (*) over all i and fixing j gives: $\bar{X}_{.j.} = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\beta}_j \implies \hat{\beta}_j = \bar{X}_{.j.} - \bar{X}_{...}$.
- ▶ Similarly, $\hat{\alpha}_i = \bar{X}_{i..} - \bar{X}_{...}$.

Estimation

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}.$$

Using method of moments, the estimators should satisfy

$$\bar{X}_{ij.} = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\alpha}_i + \hat{\beta}_j + \hat{\gamma}_{ij} \quad (*)$$

- ▶ Averaging (*) over all i and j gives: $\bar{X}_{...} = \hat{\mu}$.
- ▶ Averaging (*) over all i and fixing j gives: $\bar{X}_{.j.} = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\beta}_j \implies \hat{\beta}_j = \bar{X}_{.j.} - \bar{X}_{...}$
- ▶ Similarly, $\hat{\alpha}_i = \bar{X}_{i..} - \bar{X}_{...}$
- ▶ By plugging in the above estimators, we can solve for $\hat{\gamma}_{ij} = \bar{X}_{ij.} - \bar{X}_{i..} - \bar{X}_{.j.} + \bar{X}_{...}$.

Estimation

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}.$$

The estimators are:

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}_{...},$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_i = \bar{X}_{i..} - \bar{X}_{...},$$

$$\hat{\beta}_j = \bar{X}_{.j.} - \bar{X}_{...},$$

$$\hat{\gamma}_{ij} = \bar{X}_{ij.} - \bar{X}_{i..} - \bar{X}_{.j.} + \bar{X}_{...}$$

$$\hat{\epsilon}_{ijk} = X_{ijk} - \bar{X}_{ij.}.$$

Sum of Squares

$$X_{ijk} = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\alpha}_i + \hat{\beta}_j + \hat{\gamma}_{ij} + \hat{\epsilon}_{ijk}.$$

Now we can define the sum of squares for each term in the model:

$$SSE = \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \hat{\epsilon}_{ijk}^2 = \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k (X_{ijk} - \bar{X}_{ij.})^2, \quad df = IJ(K - 1)$$

$$SSA = \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \hat{\alpha}_i^2 = JK \sum_i (\bar{X}_{i..} - \bar{X}...)^2, \quad df = I - 1$$

$$SSB = \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \hat{\beta}_j^2 = IK \sum_j (\bar{X}_{.j.} - \bar{X}...)^2, \quad df = J - 1$$

$$SSAB = \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \hat{\gamma}_{ij}^2 = K \sum_i \sum_j (\bar{X}_{ij.} - \bar{X}_{i..} - \bar{X}_{.j.} + \bar{X}...)^2, \quad df = (I - 1)(J - 1)$$

$$SST = \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k (X_{ijk} - \bar{X}...)^2. \quad df = IJK - 1$$

Also, we have $SST = SSA + SSB + SSAB + SSE$.

Mean Squares

We can define the mean squares and give their expected values:

$$MSE = \frac{SSE}{IJ(K-1)}$$

$$E(MSE) = \sigma^2,$$

$$MSA = \frac{SSA}{I-1}$$

$$E(MSA) = \sigma^2 + \frac{JK}{I-1} \sum_i \alpha_i^2,$$

$$MSB = \frac{SSB}{J-1}$$

$$E(MSB) = \sigma^2 + \frac{IK}{J-1} \sum_j \beta_j^2,$$

$$MSAB = \frac{SSAB}{(I-1)(J-1)}$$

$$E(MSAB) = \sigma^2 + \frac{K}{(I-1)(J-1)} \sum_i \sum_j \gamma_{ij}^2.$$

Testing

To test the main effect of factor A, we consider the following hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \cdots = \alpha_I = 0,$$

$$H_1 : \text{at least one } \alpha_i \neq 0.$$

Testing

To test the main effect of factor A, we consider the following hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \cdots = \alpha_I = 0,$$

$$H_1 : \text{at least one } \alpha_i \neq 0.$$

Under null the model is

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

Under alternative the model is

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

Testing

To test the main effect of factor A, we consider the following hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_I = 0,$$

$$H_1 : \text{at least one } \alpha_i \neq 0.$$

Under null the model is

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

Under alternative the model is

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

We summarize the two nested models as

Model	SS Model	SS Error	Difference in SS Error	Difference in df
Full	SSA+SSB+SSAB	SSE		
Reduced	SSB + SSAB	SSE+SSA	SSA	I-1

Testing

Model	SS Model	SS Error	Difference in SS Error	Difference in df
Full	SSA+SSB+SSAB	SSE		
Reduced	SSB + SSAB	SSE+SSA	SSA	I-1

Therefore, the F-test should be

$$F_A = \frac{SSA/(I-1)}{SSE/[IJ(K-1)]} = \frac{MSA}{MSE} \sim F_{I-1, IJ(K-1)} \text{ (under null)}$$

We should reject null when $F_A > F_{\alpha, I-1, IJ(K-1)}$.

Testing

Similarly, to test the main effect of factor B, we consider the following hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \cdots = \beta_J = 0,$$

$$H_1 : \text{at least one } \beta_j \neq 0.$$

Testing

Similarly, to test the main effect of factor B, we consider the following hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \cdots = \beta_J = 0,$$

$$H_1 : \text{at least one } \beta_j \neq 0.$$

Testing

Similarly, to test the main effect of factor B, we consider the following hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \cdots = \beta_J = 0,$$

$$H_1 : \text{at least one } \beta_j \neq 0.$$

The F-test should be

$$F_B = \frac{MSB}{MSE} \sim F_{J-1, IJ(K-1)} (\text{under null})$$

We should reject null when $F_B > F_{\alpha, J-1, IJ(K-1)}$.

Testing

To test the interaction effect, we consider the following hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \gamma_{11} = \gamma_{12} = \cdots = \gamma_{IJ} = 0,$$

$$H_1 : \text{at least one } \gamma_{ij} \neq 0.$$

Testing

To test the interaction effect, we consider the following hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \gamma_{11} = \gamma_{12} = \cdots = \gamma_{IJ} = 0,$$

$$H_1 : \text{at least one } \gamma_{ij} \neq 0.$$

Testing

To test the interaction effect, we consider the following hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \gamma_{11} = \gamma_{12} = \cdots = \gamma_{IJ} = 0,$$

$$H_1 : \text{at least one } \gamma_{ij} \neq 0.$$

The F-test should be

$$F_{AB} = \frac{MSAB}{MSE} \sim F_{(I-1)(J-1), IJ(K-1)}(\text{under null})$$

We should reject null when $F_{AB} > F_{\alpha, (I-1)(J-1), IJ(K-1)}$.

Testing

To test the interaction effect, we consider the following hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \gamma_{11} = \gamma_{12} = \cdots = \gamma_{IJ} = 0,$$

$$H_1 : \text{at least one } \gamma_{ij} \neq 0.$$

The F-test should be

$$F_{AB} = \frac{MSAB}{MSE} \sim F_{(I-1)(J-1), IJ(K-1)} (\text{under null})$$

We should reject null when $F_{AB} > F_{\alpha, (I-1)(J-1), IJ(K-1)}$.

Questions: What are the full model and reduced model in this case?

ANOVA Table

The ANOVA table for the two-way ANOVA with replication is:

Source	SS	df	MS	F
Factor A	SSA	$I - 1$	MSA	F_A
Factor B	SSB	$J - 1$	MSB	F_B
Interaction	SSAB	$(I - 1)(J - 1)$	MSAB	F_{AB}
Error	SSE	$IJ(K - 1)$	MSE	
Total	SST	$IJK - 1$		

Example

Data: thermal properties of asphalt mix under three different binder grades and three different coarse aggregate contents.

Asphalt Binder Grade	Coarse Aggregate Content (%)			$\bar{x}_{i..}$
	38	41	44	
PG58	.835, .845	.822, .826	.785, .795	.8180
PG64	.855, .865	.832, .836	.790, .800	.8297
PG70	.815, .825	.800, .820	.770, .790	.8033
$\bar{x}_{.j}$.8400	.8227	.7883	

Example

The ANOVA table is:

Source	DF	SS	MS	<i>f</i>	<i>P</i>
AsphGr	2	.0020893	.0010447	14.12	0.002
AggCont	2	.0082973	.0041487	56.06	0.000
Interaction	4	.0003253	.0000813	1.10	0.414
Error	9	.0006660	.0000740		
Total	17	.0113780			

Mixed Effect Models

In some experiments, one or more factors are randomly selected from a larger population.

- ▶ The factors that are pre-selected are called **fixed effects**.
- ▶ The factors that are randomly selected are called **random effects**.
- ▶ If a multi-factor model contains both fixed and random effects, it is called a **mixed effect model**.

Mixed Effect Models

Two-way ANOVA model with two fixed effects (with replication):

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}.$$

- ▶ α_i and β_j 's are unknown fixed parameters.

Mixed Effect Models

Two-way ANOVA model with two fixed effects (with replication):

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}.$$

- ▶ α_i and β_j 's are unknown fixed parameters.

Now we let the second factor to be random:

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + B_j + G_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}.$$

- ▶ B_j is the random effect of the j th level of factor B.
- ▶ G_{ij} is the interaction effect between the i th level of factor A and the j th level of factor B.

Mixed Effect Models

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + B_j + G_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}.$$

Certain assumptions are made for the random effects:

- ▶ $B_j \sim N(0, \sigma_B^2)$.
- ▶ $G_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma_G^2)$.

Mixed Effect Models

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + B_j + G_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}.$$

Now we consider the constraints:

- ▶ $\sum_i \alpha_i = 0$ — because it's on the fixed effect, so we keep it.
- ▶ $\sum_j B_j = 0$ — we should **not** assume it because of random sampling.
- ▶ $\sum_j G_{ij} = 0$ — we should **not** assume it as well.
- ▶ $\sum_i G_{ij} = 0$ — it depends.

Mixed Effect Models

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + B_j + G_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}.$$

The **unrestricted model** assumes:

- ▶ $\epsilon_{ijk} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$.
- ▶ $B_j \sim N(0, \sigma_B^2)$.
- ▶ $G_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma_G^2)$.
- ▶ $\sum_i \alpha_i = 0$.
- ▶ B_j and G_{ij} are independent of each other.

Mixed Effect Models

$$X_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + B_j + G_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}.$$

The **restricted model** assumes:

- ▶ $\epsilon_{ijk} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$.
- ▶ $B_j \sim N(0, \sigma_B^2)$.
- ▶ $G_{ij} \sim N\left(0, \frac{I-1}{I} \sigma_G^2\right)$.
- ▶ $\sum_i \alpha_i = 0$.
- ▶ $\sum_i G_{ij} = 0$.
- ▶ Now G_{ij} 's are correlated.

Mixed Effect Models

The direct consequences of the different assumptions are the expected MS values.

Unrestricted Model

$$E(MSE) = \sigma^2$$

$$E(MSA) = \sigma^2 + K\sigma_G^2 + \frac{JK}{I-1} \sum_i \alpha_i^2$$

$$E(MSB) = \sigma^2 + K\sigma_G^2 + IK\sigma_B^2$$

$$E(MSAB) = \sigma^2 + K\sigma_G^2$$

Restricted Model

$$E(MSE) = \sigma^2$$

$$E(MSA) = \sigma^2 + K\sigma_G^2 + \frac{JK}{I-1} \sum_i \alpha_i^2$$

$$E(MSB) = \sigma^2 + IK\sigma_B^2$$

$$E(MSAB) = \sigma^2 + K\sigma_G^2$$

Mixed Effect Models

The further consequence is that we should use different F-values for the tests.

Test (H_0)	Unrestricted Model	Restricted Model
$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_I = 0$	$F = MSA/MSAB$	$F = MSA/MSAB$
$\sigma_B^2 = 0$	$F = MSB/MSAB$	$F = MSB/MSE$
$\sigma_G^2 = 0$	$F = MSAB/MSE$	$F = MSAB/MSE$